

1 A In a way it is, yes.

2 Q Why?

3 A Because it's really the only epidemiology
4 treatment that's out there in the literature of a
5 groundwater contamination plume and its potential cancer
6 effects in a population.

7 Q Was there some discussion with PG&E's counsel
8 about the fact that this was a very important piece of
9 scientific literature about ingestion of chromium and
10 carcinogenicity?

11 A I think I told you earlier that the judges from
12 the first arbitration actually mentioned that to the
13 PG&E attorneys, and they relayed -- related that to us.

14 Q So did the PG&E attorneys emphasize the fact
15 that they thought it was important because the judges
16 found it important?

17 A I think they took the judges' advice.

18 Q And that was communicated to you at ChemRisk?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Are you familiar with the concept of
21 transparency of authorship in scientific literature?

22 A I'm not sure exactly how you're using it but

23 I'm -- I'm familiar with the term "transparency."

24 Q What does it mean?

25 A Well, it means putting all -- all of the